

**Advisory Group for Research Services and Scholarly Resources  
(AGRSSR)  
Minutes  
May 13, 2009  
Library West Conference Room, 429**

**Members Present:** Carol McAuliffe *for Joe Aufmuth*, Cecilia Botero, Denise Bennett, Steve Carrico, Robena Cornwell, Michele Crump, John Ingram, Ann Lindell, Angela Mott, Marilyn Ochoa, Bobby Parker, Patrick Reakes, Dan Reboussin, Laurie Taylor, Rachel Schipper, Betsy Simpson, Christopher Vallandingham and Ben Walker

**Members Absent:** Bess de Farber, Sam Huang, Peter McKay & Carl Van Ness

**I Approval of April 2009 Minutes**

Minutes were approved & can be posted online.

**II Budget**

The most recent update on the budget is online and will be streaming live on the [Faculty Senate website](#). We remain very optimistic that the library will again be treated in a special way, though there are no other updates to report at this time.

**III Open Access**

John updated that Tom Walker spoke about Open Access to the Academic Infrastructure Council and the ULC to date. This process hasn't been accepted widely by most faculty members. If peer reviewed, should be OA from the start. Task force is going to be set up to review issues regarding OA and what the impact will be on the DLC and our college; John will work on gathering interested people to participate in next week.

Questions to be addressed:

Should the library pay the page charges for submitting OA articles? Should the faculty pay? OA costs in between \$200 and \$3000.

NIH mandates that after 6-12 months, publications must appear in their OA (Pub Med). Publishers have fought this mandate and lost and now they're trying to do it a different way.

**IV Revising the materials budget architecture to align with the new library organization circulation**

Steve passed out a proposal to the group to discuss. [Click here to view the document.](#)

There was some discussion regarding this document/policy. One question that came up is this in place or is it just a proposal? John repeated what Steve already said, that it was just a proposal.

John said it would be helpful for Steve to send out the more developed proposal to the entire AGRSSR group and to attach a preliminary budget list as well. John suggested, that Steve include HSCL as a separate branch (change to budget center) and asked the HSCL representative what they thought. Cecilia indicated that she did not fully understand the implications of that move, so would need to have further discussions with Smathers to gain a full understanding.

Another question was asked regarding not being notified about certain changes being made that involve other libraries. Robena used the [myLibrary](#) as an example of how people were not notified about projects/changes. What is a better way of communicating these questions to the other areas? It was suggested that "Library-Wide messages" or "Selects" or other appropriate lists could be used to get that information out there.

## **V Circulation Access Services Discussion Group**

It was discussed that perhaps a group can be put together to discuss these issues.

Lost Book Policy – this has been presented to LC several times. Q: Are we buying books yet? A: Not yet. It was discussed and decided that at the next AGRSSR meeting we'd review the Lost Book Policy/Circulation Issues (Review/Change).

Rachel gave the group a little history on why the date for when the replacement policy was scheduled for implementation was changed; mainly due to the fact that it had been written a year ago. As the time came closer, it was realized that the original date wouldn't work any longer and so it was a changed to just before the new school year begins in August.

## **VI Lost Book Policy**

On August 17<sup>th</sup> the Lost Book Policy will be implemented. The group discussed some minor changes in the policy. [Click here to view in bold the suggested changes.](#)

- Clarification where appeals should be sent, or where they should mail them. Clarification that all appeals are being sent to John or Judy (Admin).
- Type of item needs to be added in the fee schedule.

Angela will make the approved changes & then Lori will make these changes and it will go to Judy for final approval. The expected publicity for sending this policy to everyone is having an email sent out using the DDD list & posting it on the Library Website. Cecilia indicated that the HSCL would continue it's current policy and asked that patrons be referred to the specific fine and lost policies on the HSCL web page.

## **VII Next Meeting?**

- Ebooks/Ebooks task force
- Digitization Efforts (priorities)

Meeting adjourned at 11:15am