

**Advisory Group for Research Services and Scholarly Resources
(AGRSSR)
Minutes
November 10, 2009
Library West, 429**

Present: Joe Aufmuth, Denise Bennett, Cecilia Botero, Steve Carrico, Robena Cornwell, Michele Crump, John Ingram, Ann Lindell, Peter McKay, Angela Mott, Cathy Martyniak, Marilyn Ochoa, Bobby Parker, Dan Reboussin, Judy Russell, Rachel Schipper, Laurie Taylor, Betsy Simpson, Carl Van Ness

Not present: Michele Tennant, Richard Phillips, Patrick Reakes, Christopher Vallandingham, Ben Walker

I Minutes Approval

October 7th minutes were approved and can be posted.

II IMLS National Leadership Grant Program Accepting Applications - Feb 1 Deadline

Bess de Farber passed out a handout giving the group information on this grants program. She reported that there are two different types:

- Project Grants - \$50,000-\$1 million
 - Advancing Digital Resources
 - Research
 - Demonstration
 - Library-Museum Collaboration
- Collaborative Planning Grants – Level 1 up to \$50,000; Level II up to \$100,000
 - Advancing Digital Resources
 - Research
 - Demonstration
 - Library-Museum Collaboration

Criteria

- Strategic Impact
- Innovation
- Collaboration

www.imls.gov/applicants/grants/nationalLeadership.shtm

Judy asked if we'd applied for this type of grant before? Laurie said that back in 2004 we did, Bess adds that the criteria has changed since then.

Bess suggested that we do try for some planning grants because not a lot of people apply for these. She said she'd like to host a grants writing workshop (2-3 hours) for those interested in getting some training. Judy suggested that we all start thinking about projects that would fall into these categories.

III Budget

John reported that we are a little over a 1/3 of the way through the budget year and we're probably going to have adjustments as the actual data and prices come in. At our next meeting we'll have some additional information regarding our materials budget.

Judy also updated that we're still heading down the RCM path though a model has not been agreed upon yet. We're not clear when we'll have a budget call and how it will differ from previous years. We'll most likely start RCM next year with this year's budget as base. We have not been asked to do a budget proposal. We're not expecting additional cuts.

John updated that the Elsevier advisory group is working at reducing the current price cap as they are not expecting an economic recovery until 2012. They are also working on several new services, like Cell Press which is being completely remodeled. They are also looking at new programs that will allow an institution the ability to see where it's potential is in a more granular level (showing where institutions are strong/weak in – based on what's being published & grant funded) In January 2010 a presentation will be given on these new programs at UF.

IV Open Access – Fees & Updates

Judy presented a proposal to the Provost asking for \$120,000 to help support faculty in their OA initiatives. She presented this proposal to the Deans at the Dean's meeting & will re-present it in December. They've asked for a few more points to be added:

What is the procedure to determine who gets the funding & how to decide how much funding each faculty receives and the impact factor.

Judy asked the group to gather information on how many faculty are asking about OA fees. Judy is going to forward the email she sent to the deans with the attachment of how things are being done at Cornell & Berkeley.

V Catalog/Mango

Denise said that she keeps getting questions about who should address Mango issues, for example JAbbr. JAbbr is a new tool that they'd like to have in the UF Catalog, which is in test. JAbbr is a search tool that would be a "did you mean..." for journal titles. It was decided that AGRSSR was the appropriate group to start this discussion, it would then be shared with Library Council.

VI Committee Reports & the IR (What should be transferred from the Libraries Website(s) to the IR?)

The purpose of this is to clean up the website. Judy and John have put the group members in charge of going through their webfiles & letting them know what can go where:

What kind of things need to be in the IR?

What will be moved to the Intranet (which will not be searchable via google)?

AGRSSR agrees that a policy and a task force is needed to put this together. We'll discuss this at our next meeting.