

**Advisory Group for Research Services and Scholarly Resources
(AGRSSR)
Minutes
December 8, 2010
Library West 429**

Present: Alena Aissing, Joe Aufmuth, Denise Bennett, Diane Bruxvoort, Michele Crump, Robena Cornwell, Rebecca Jefferson, Cathy Martyniak, Rachel Schipper, Laurie Taylor, Carl Van Ness, Cecilia Botero, Patrick Reakes, Marilyn Ochoa, Isabel Silver, Chris Poehlmann, and Judy Russell

Not present: Sam Huang, Christopher Vallandingham, Ben Walker, Ryan Litsey, Peter McKay, and Ann Lindell,

I Retention of Print Journals in Storage - Judy

This year \$2 million in planning money was received for the HDF (High Density Facility) from the Board of Governors. Part of that money has been used to prepare the collection in ALF that will be moved to the facility. Should receive funding around the 1st of July and will start building the HDF and shelving when the money is received. Hopefully around this time next year the library will be moving the prepared collection from ALF into the HDF.

If there is a short fall in the PICO money than there may be enough to build the building, but not enough for the shelving. If this happens, then the shelving may be going in at the beginning of the following fiscal year.

Cathy has people already traying monographs. Since ALF is going to be renovated everything will need to be moved quickly into the HDF as soon as it opens. There are a lot of serials out there and there is a list that will be given to everyone.

There is a list of things in storage that are not monographs and not JSTOR titles.

JSTOR - At a recent CSUL meeting, they generated a list of JSTOR titles. They tried to identify which ones were held by one of the 11 libraries. Judy is working on a chart that will go to CPC and have them begin to evaluate the JSTOR journals and make recommendations about which ones should be retained at the HDF and which ones may be able to dispose of. The initial plan was to have all the JSTOR go in with a comprehensive set that UF had subscribed to. The thinking is that UF does not have to keep them all. Now they are thinking that it might be a bad idea to get rid of the journals. After CPC makes their recommendations, then Cathy can start working on the JSTOR journals traying what we are going to keep.

Non-JSTOR Print - It will be a while before CPC will be able to look at the non-JSTOR print. This will give everyone a chance to look on the list at what they have in their areas and make recommendations.

- What to retain and why?
- Can discard and why?

Your recommendations will be shared with CSUL.

Once the HDF is build and is ready for everything to be moved in, everything needs to be already trayed. This means decisions on what to keep and not to keep needs to be made now. It takes time to do the traying and prep work to get everything ready for the HDF.

ASRL is in the process of a collaborating journal survey project. They are also faced with the question is one copy good enough. UF is a little ahead of the ASRL project in which traying has already started for the HDF and ASRL is at the beginning in deciding on what to keep or not.

The HDF will hold 4.5 – 5 million volumes and Judy was told not to plain on asking for more money to build another one for at least 7 – 10 years. In other HDF around the country, they did not start off with the one copy rule and now they are spending a lot of money pulling out duplicate materials. They started running out of space and cannot go back and ask for another module.

In JSTOR it was determined that other libraries held some of the holdings, but it was not known what. UF has the decision to keep UF held titles or not.

Question: Are there any guidelines to use when deciding what to keep or not?

Answer: Everything in storage will be cataloged and visible. Consider what our communal responsibility is in keeping a title that is low redundancy along with UF's interest.

The following is a comment from a Dean at the CSCUL meeting: Keeping materials in Florida seems more comfortable since it is easier to get to. In another state, it may not be as easy to get to them.

Question: What is the time frame for input?

Answer: Cathy will begin in January so she will need some idea. Judy asked CSUL to give us, ASAP but no later than March, an evaluation of the first 213 JSTOR titles in which 19 are UF only titles. There are 1,200 JSTOR titles and on the list there are 23,050 titles. Some of the titles have multiple holdings.

When everyone has decided on what they think can be discarded, then Judy will take the list to CSUL to see what they think. They asked if the CSUL JSTOR report be completed by the June CSUL meeting.

This list needs to be looked at now before the HDF opens. Let Cathy know what your decision is by the end of January or beginning February. Then the list will be sent to CSUL for discussion. The JSTOR list will also need to be done by June. You can talk to Judy, Cathy, or Diane if you have any questions.

By the end of January, Judy will know what level of funding will be proposed for next year. Then she will see if the shelving will be done this time or for the following year.

Scenario: UF might want to get rid of print if there is an online copy. Our colleges may not have either and may want UF to keep the print.

Answer: We will be looking at this from the state regionally level. Judy asked CPC on their criteria when determining what to keep or not.

Identifying regional copies and then putting them up for discussion might be a good start. Will need to inventory holdings first. Other libraries have already boxed materials and are waiting to see what UF is going to put in. Then, they will fill in the spaces and discard any that are not needed. Miami does have an inventory of their boxed materials.

Process – Recommendations' to Cathy and Judy, then they will be discussed in a group. Cathy will send the list out to everyone. On the list she will put columns for retain, exclude, possible exclude and date reviewed for everyone to put their recommendations on. She will also pull out the JSTOR materials and send the list out to everyone.

Question: Can this list be put on google.doc or some other way for everyone to share?

If you have something more than one person needs to decide on, then the two need to talk before making the decision. Remember that some titles have multiple holdings. Cathy suggested sorting with the ALEPH number, although people can sort with any column that works for them.

Judy wants to see the progress being made on this during the January meeting. If anyone has any questions, document them.

We can have other groups meet together and have Cathy explain everything to them.

Will try to have a selectors meeting before the holidays.

II Update on AGRSSR Changes – Diane

At the next meeting Diane will have on paper what she would like to do with AGRSSR; what she would like to do with user experience; do we need sub-groups; liaison groups; how does it all fit together; and how is the group going to manage it.

Diane sees this as a very static group because it does not have any projects. What does this group need to do? What do we need to be doing? How do we move it forward?

Judy mentioned the intention of this group was to operate in a different way in helping with prioritization. For example:

- Having a proactive role in digitization
- Storage Facility - Pull in records for public domain and orphan works that have copies and trust.
- Have not identified items for open access.

Dianne wants the group to work more as a committee oppose to attending a meeting monthly to get information. The above examples are great things the committee can start working on.

III Additional Agenda Topics?

JSTOR

What needs to be done when the list comes back? Would some of the JSTOR titles have to be pulled out of the HDF in 5 years? Any recommendations on the JSTOR list would be presented to the group for their input. We need to consider if there is an electronic access.

IV Wrap up/Agenda Topics for Next Meeting