### UF Library Quality Indicators compared to Selected Top Public Research Universities

#### Academic Year 2002-2003

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Library Characteristics</th>
<th>User Support Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>8 Library Expenditure</strong></td>
<td><strong>14 Librarian FTE per Student</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9 Library as % of E&amp;G Budget</strong></td>
<td><strong>15 Library Staff per FTE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10 Library Matt's Budget</strong></td>
<td><strong>16 Library Mats per Student</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>11 Volumes Held</strong></td>
<td><strong>17 Volumes per Student</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>12 Volumes Added</strong></td>
<td><strong>18 Students per Lib. Staff</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13 Library Staff</strong></td>
<td><strong>19 Faculty per PhD Field</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>14 Library FTE per Student</strong></td>
<td><strong>20 Librarians per PhD Field</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Michigan
- **2002:** $48,193,329
- **2003:** $41,819,383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Michigan</th>
<th>Illinois</th>
<th>Indiana</th>
<th>Ohio St</th>
<th>Pennsylvania</th>
<th>Texas</th>
<th>UCLA</th>
<th>UC-Berk.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$48,193,329</td>
<td>$60,401,951</td>
<td>$52,964,914</td>
<td>$52,572,033</td>
<td>$52,872,915</td>
<td>$53,464,914</td>
<td>$57,290,914</td>
<td>$57,290,914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$41,819,383</td>
<td>$49,730,914</td>
<td>$47,730,914</td>
<td>$46,290,914</td>
<td>$48,290,914</td>
<td>$47,730,914</td>
<td>$49,730,914</td>
<td>$49,730,914</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Library Characteristics

- **1 Institution & E&G Budget**
- **2 Students per FTE**
- **3 Graduate Students**
- **4% Graduate Students**
- **5 Faculty**
- **6 PhD Fields Offered**
- **7 PhDs Conferred**

#### User Support Characteristics

- **14 Librarian FTE per Student**
- **15 Library Staff per FTE**
- **16 Library Mats per Student**
- **17 Volumes per Student**
- **18 Students per Lib. Staff**
- **19 Faculty per PhD Field**
- **20 Librarians per PhD Field**

---

**Note:** Selection criteria include public university libraries based on the Association of Research Libraries (ARL) membership criteria index. The ARL index is calculated using weighted values for five data elements: number of volumes held, number of volumes added, number of current serials received, total operating expenditure, and number of professional plus support staff.

---

**Florida Library Indicators Relative to Selected Top Public Research Universities**

- **8 Florida Library Expenditure**
- **9 Florida Library as % of E&G Budget**
- **10 Florida Library Matt's Budget**
- **11 Florida Volumes Held**
- **12 Florida Volumes Added**
- **13 Florida Library Staff**
- **14 Florida Library FTE per Student**
- **15 Florida Library Staff per FTE**
- **16 Florida Library Mats per Student**
- **17 Florida Volumes per Student**
- **18 Florida Students per Lib. Staff**
- **19 Florida Faculty per PhD Field**
- **20 Florida Librarians per PhD Field**

---

**Florida Indicators Above/Below Average:**

- **13% Above/Below Average:**
- **15% Below Average:**
- **17% Below Average:**
- **19% Below Average:**
- **21% Below Average:**
- **23% Below Average:**
- **25% Below Average:**
- **27% Below Average:**

---

**Library Characteristics for Florida**

- **Florida Library Expenditure**
- **Florida Library as % of E&G Budget**
- **Florida Library Matt's Budget**
- **Florida Volumes Held**
- **Florida Volumes Added**
- **Florida Library Staff**
- **Florida Library FTE per Student**
- **Florida Library Staff per FTE**
- **Florida Library Mats per Student**
- **Florida Volumes per Student**
- **Florida Students per Lib. Staff**
- **Florida Faculty per PhD Field**
- **Florida Librarians per PhD Field**

---

**Florida Indicators Above/Below Average:**

- **13% Above/Below Average:**
- **15% Below Average:**
- **17% Below Average:**
- **19% Below Average:**
- **21% Below Average:**
- **23% Below Average:**
- **25% Below Average:**
- **27% Below Average:**

---

**Note:** Selection criteria include public university libraries based on the Association of Research Libraries (ARL) membership criteria index. The ARL index is calculated using weighted values for five data elements: number of volumes held, number of volumes added, number of current serials received, total operating expenditure, and number of professional plus support staff.

---

**Florida Indicators Above/Below Average:**

- **13% Above/Below Average:**
- **15% Below Average:**
- **17% Below Average:**
- **19% Below Average:**
- **21% Below Average:**
- **23% Below Average:**
- **25% Below Average:**
- **27% Below Average:**

---

**Note:** Selection criteria include public university libraries based on the Association of Research Libraries (ARL) membership criteria index. The ARL index is calculated using weighted values for five data elements: number of volumes held, number of volumes added, number of current serials received, total operating expenditure, and number of professional plus support staff.

---

**Florida Indicators Above/Below Average:**

- **13% Above/Below Average:**
- **15% Below Average:**
- **17% Below Average:**
- **19% Below Average:**
- **21% Below Average:**
- **23% Below Average:**
- **25% Below Average:**
- **27% Below Average:**

---

**Note:** Selection criteria include public university libraries based on the Association of Research Libraries (ARL) membership criteria index. The ARL index is calculated using weighted values for five data elements: number of volumes held, number of volumes added, number of current serials received, total operating expenditure, and number of professional plus support staff.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University Characteristics</th>
<th>Library Characteristics</th>
<th>User Support Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>University Name</strong></td>
<td><strong>Expenditures</strong></td>
<td><strong>Enrollment</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Institution Name</strong></td>
<td><strong>E &amp; B</strong></td>
<td><strong>No. of Students</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCLA</td>
<td>$1,872,383,000</td>
<td>36,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan-Ann Arbor</td>
<td>$1,902,432,709</td>
<td>38,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota-Twin Cities</td>
<td>$1,625,139,443</td>
<td>37,610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin-Madison</td>
<td>$1,482,945,570</td>
<td>38,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington-Seattle</td>
<td>$1,449,503,003</td>
<td>34,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC-Berkeley</td>
<td>$1,308,530,000</td>
<td>30,519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio State</td>
<td>$1,265,971,049</td>
<td>43,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>$1,167,977,000</td>
<td>42,592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Austin</td>
<td>$1,122,310,695</td>
<td>47,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn State</td>
<td>$1,134,831,016</td>
<td>38,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois-Urbana</td>
<td>$950,796,906</td>
<td>37,504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana-Bloomington</td>
<td>$665,482,142</td>
<td>34,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard</td>
<td>$1,800,000,000</td>
<td>21,252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IPEDS 1999-2000

Notes on finding IPEDS Data:

Link to IPEDS:

http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/